4th November 1935]

(*e*) The hon. Member is referred to the answer given to clause (*d*) of question No. 426 at the meeting of the Council held on 22nd January 1935.

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—" The answer says that 'the Government are not aware that the facts are as suggested'. Will they enquire into the matter and find out what the facts are?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" I do not think so, Sir."

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—" May I ask, Sir, what difficulty there is; because the Government will be in a better position to find the actual state of things?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" I think it is best we take the present conditions as they are now."

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—" Arising out of the answer to clauses (*b*) and (*c*), may I ask, Sir, whether the river dried up ever at that point and consequently there was scarcity of water in the channel?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" At what time, when."

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—" Before the construction of the Mettur Dam. I want to know whether the river failed to supply water at that point where the korambu is constructed?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" Does the hon. Member mean since the Mettur Dam was constructed, or when."

Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder:—" Before the Mettur Dam was constructed?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" I have no information."

Public Service

Proposal to raise the age-limit of minority communities for recruitment to gazetted posts.

* 430 *Q.*—Mr. P. V. Rajagopal Pillai: With reference to his reply to question No. 189 answered on 9th August 1935, will the Hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any decision has since been arrived at with regard to the raising of the age-limit of minority communities for gazetted appointments; and

(*b*) if the reply to clause (*a*) is in the affirmative, what the age limit so fixed is?

A.—The matter is still under consideration.

Mr. M. Devadasan:—" May I know for how long the matter will be under consideration?"

[4th November 1935

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" The matter is not an easy one, and must be very carefully considered."

Rao Sahib V. I. Muniswami Pillai:—" May I ask whether the Public Service Commission has been consulted in this matter, and what its opinion is?"

The Hon. Mr. C. A. Souter:—" Notice, Sir."

Control of Dramatic Performances

Order under section 144, Criminal Procedure Code, on the Gadag Dattatriya Nataka Company, prohibiting the staging of a drama entitled 'Bhagavan Yesukrista.'

* 431 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt: Will the Hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether the Gadag Dattatriya Nataka Company, which is now staging dramas in Kanarese in Mangalore, petitioned to the Government and the Collector of South Kanara against an order under section 144 of the Criminal Procedure Code passed by the Sub-divisional Magistrate against them restraining them from playing a drama entitled 'Bhagavan Yesukrista'; and

(*b*) what action the Government have taken or propose to take in the matter and whether the Government will be pleased to place on the table a copy of the order, if any, passed on the subject?

A.—(*a*) No petition in the matter was received by the Government. It is understood that the Manager of the Company has made representations to the District Magistrate.

(*b*) The Government propose to take no action.

Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt:—" May I know whether it is the idea of the Government that Hindu dramatic companies should not play dramas based on Christian sacred history?"

The Hon. Rao Bahadur A. T. Pannirselvam:—" The matter has not yet come at all to the Government, and therefore the question as to what the Government think about it does not arise."

Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt:—" May I know on what grounds this order was passed; whether the officer concerned ever cared to send for a copy of the drama in order to see if it contained any objectionable matter?"

The Hon. Rao Bahadur A. T. Pannirselvam:—" The answer says the Government have no information at all about it. Further, I may point out that any order under section 144 is a judicial order, and the person aggrieved has always got a statutory remedy of taking the matter to the highest judicial authorities."